

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/845,349 05/01/2001 Hirotomo Ishii 018775-827 2588 7590 07/19/2006 EXAMINER Platon N. Mandros LAROSE, COLIN M BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404 2624

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/845,349	ISHII, HIROTOMO
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Colin M. LaRose	2624
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMES IN THE MAILING DAMES IN THE MAILING DAMES IN THE MONTHS FROM the mailing date of this communication. Do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be found in the control of t	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	nuary 2006.	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 and 22-24 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,12 and 22-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 5 and 11 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) [] a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachmen	at(s)		
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	
3) 🔲 Infor	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submissions filed on 9/8/2005 and 1/30/2006 have been entered.

Response to Amendments and Arguments

2. Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 8, and 12, to denote that the target pixel is binarized "into one of two data values." The thresholding binary buffer 43 of figure 4 of Huang was previously relied upon as corresponding to the claimed "binarization unit." Applicant correctly points out that the output produced by the thresholding binary buffer 43 is composed of three binary bits, and therefore, the output corresponds to one of eight values, rather than one of two values, as claimed.

However, Huang subsequently converts this 3-bit binary signal into a 1-bit binary signal at the output of the pixel count accumulator 48. Huang's conversion of a 24-bit color signal (which is input to the thresholding binary buffer 43) into a 1-bit binary signal via the processing elements 43, 44, and 48 is considered to directly correspond to the claimed binarizing of the target pixel "into one of two data values." For this reason, the present amendment is not considered sufficient to distinguish the claims from Huang.

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Applicant asserts that Bloomberg "teaches that the selected pixel is selected based on criteria other than absolute position," and therefore, "Bloomberg does not overcome the deficiency of Huang."

As pointed out in the previous Office action, Huang discloses subsampling the image in order to generate a reduced resolution version of the original. See block 41, figure 4. As Bloomberg teaches, the operation of subsampling is well-known in the art and entails transforming an image by selecting a subset of pixels contained in the image. The resulting set of pixels constitutes a reduced version of the original image. For example, subsampling the image by discarding every second pixel would reduce the image in half; discarding every third pixel would reduce the image by a third; and etc. As in conventional in subsampling, the maintained pixels are spaced at regular intervals throughout the image. It can therefore be said that the absolute positions of the maintained pixels are fixed with respect to the edge of the image; the positions of the maintained pixels do not depend on the location of target pixels or the like – the pixel positions are fixed and spaced at regular intervals.

This is in accordance with Applicant's depiction of pixels satisfying an absolute condition in figure 5 of the present invention. In figure 5, every third pixel is denoted as meeting the "absolute positional condition"; this is equivalent to subsampling the image to a third of its original size because only a third of the original pixels are kept. Thus, it can be seen that Applicant's "absolute condition," as claimed, directly corresponds to Huang's subsampling operation.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,349

Art Unit: 2624

Reagrding claims 3 and 9, Applicant asserts that Kanno does not overcome the deficiencies of Huang for the base claims, however, as explained above, Huang is considered to anticipate independent claims 1, 8, and 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 12, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,766,056 by Huang et al. ("Huang").

Regarding claims 1, 8, and 12, Huang discloses an image processing apparatus/medium/method (12, figure 1) comprising:

an input unit (scanner 11, figure 1) for inputting image data of an image composed of a plurality of pixels, each having a color data (color image data is input to the pixel buffer pipe 42 via an input device; column 6, lines 19-21);

a storage unit (42, figure 4) for storing a first condition on absolute positions of pixels in the image and a second condition on positions of pixels relative to a target pixel (first condition: the pixel buffer pipe receive subsampling signals from the sub-sample control module 41 and subsamples the image based on those signals; the resulting image is a down-sampled version of the original that contains only a subset of the original pixels; the pixels to be retained after

Page 5

subsampling constitute the first condition on absolute positions of pixels in the image; see column 6, lines 22-30 and 50-54; second condition: in figure 5, a number of "lag" pixels relative to a target pixel ("0") are identified; the designation of these pixels constitutes the second condition on positions of pixels relative to a target pixel; see column 6, lines 55-67);

a binarization unit (elements 43, 44, and 48, figure 4) for binarizing the target pixel into one of two data values based upon a color data of the target pixel (i.e. color value of the target pixel is compared to threshold during the binarization process) and that of at least one related pixel to the target pixel in the image (i.e. related pixels are used to generate the threshold) to generate a binarized value (binarized value 54A is output by the pixel count accumulator 48), the at least one related pixel satisfying the first and second conditions stored in said storage unit (column 7, lines 5-25: the threshold for binarizing the target pixel is generated based on the related (lag) pixels, which must satisfy the first and second conditions – that is, the related pixels are present in the subsampled image (absolute condition), and they meet the condition of being "lag" pixels); and

a determination unit (22, figure 2) for determining whether or not the image has a specified pattern, based upon binarized values obtained by said binarization unit (i.e. the mark detection module 22 determines whether a specified patterns exists).

Regarding claim 2, Huang discloses the binarization unit obtains a color data for binarization based upon the color data of the target pixel and that of the at least one related pixel (column 7, lines 12-31: the "color data" obtained for binarization includes the pixel value of the target pixel, and a color threshold that is based on the related pixels), and generates the

Art Unit: 2624

binarized value based upon whether or not the value indicated by the color data for binarization is within a predetermined range (column 7, lines 20-31 the 3-bit binarized value of the target pixel is generated based upon whether the target pixel's color value is within the color range for a designated mark -- this 3-bit binarized value is then used to generate a binarized value 54A composed of a single bit).

Regarding claim 6, Huang discloses the determination unit determines whether or not an image element having a shape similar to the specified pattern exists, based upon the binarized values, and when the image element is determined to exist, finely examines the shape of the image element to determine whether or not the specified pattern exists (column 12, lines 42-55: the mark detector detects circle patterns, and then finely examines those circle patterns by gathering statistical parameters).

Regarding claim 7, Huang discloses that when the image element is determined to exist, the determination unit inhibits to generate an image resembling closely the image received from the input unit (column 21, lines 45-52: e.g. photocopier functions are disabled upon detecting the image element).

Regarding claims 22-24, Huang's subsampling results in maintaining pixels in the image that are spaced at regular intervals (i.e. fixed with respect to an edge of the image), as is found in conventional subsampling.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2624

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of U.S. Patent 5,434,953 by Bloomberg.

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Huang discloses subsampling the image in order to reduce the image size, thereby defining a first condition (column 6, lines 22-30), and Huang also discloses a pixel which exists in a straight line including the target pixel extending in the predetermined direction and which is positioned within a predetermined range from the target pixel is the pixel defined in the second condition (figure 5: "lag" pixels, which are positioned within a predetermined range from the target, define the second condition).

Huang does not expressly disclose that every N pixel from a pixel at an edge of the image in a predetermined direction within the image is the pixel defined in the first condition.

However, at the time the invention was made, it was obvious to one skilled in the art that subsampling was typically effected by maintaining every Nth pixel from the edge of the original image in a predetermined direction (e.g. horizontal and vertical directions). Bloomberg teaches that "subsampling" is an operation that involves dividing an image into square blocks of pixels and then selecting a predetermined pixel from each block. The subsampled image is formed by combining each of the selected pixels, resulting in an image that includes every Nth pixel from the original image. Col. 4, lines 8-14. In view of Bloomberg's definition of "subsampling," whose skilled in the art would have known that Huang's subsampling constitutes maintaining every N pixel from the edge of the image in a predetermined direction within the image.

7. Claims 3 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of U.S. Patent 5,687,252 by Kanno et al. ("Kanno").

Regarding claim 9, Huang discloses the binarization step includes:

obtaining a color data for binarization based upon the color data of the target pixel and that of the at least one related pixel (column 7, lines 12-31: the "color data" obtained for binarization includes the pixel value of the target pixel, and a color threshold that is based on the related pixels), and

generating the binarized value based upon whether or not the value indicated by the color data for binarization is within a predetermined range (column 7, lines 20-31 the binarized value of the target pixel is generated based upon whether the target pixel's color value is within the color range for a designated mark).

Regarding claim 3 and further in regards to claim 9, Huang does not disclose the color data for binarization is obtained based upon an average value between the value of the color data of the target pixel and that of the at least one related pixel.

Rather, Huang discloses that the threshold is obtained based on only the related (lag) pixels.

Kanno discloses an image processing system that includes the binarization of image data, similar to the system of Huang. In particular, Kanno discloses a number of different binarization processes that may be carried out in order to binarize image data (column 4, lines 1-13). Method (5) involves calculating a threshold based on average values of both a target pixel and related pixels (see figure 9 and column 9, lines 65+). The average value of the target pixel and its related pixels within a predetermined range is then used as a threshold for binarizing the target pixel.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Huang by Kanno to include the target pixel in the calculation of the average value to be used as the threshold, since Kanno shows that calculating the average value based on both the target pixel and related pixels for the purposes of generating a threshold for binarization of a single target pixel is conventional.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 5 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 5 and 11, Huang is silent to storing a third condition defining a position relative to the target pixel in a predetermined direction specified by a user, and wherein the at least one related pixel includes a pixel satisfying the third condition. Huang's system does not appear to involve any user interaction for specifying a predetermined direction corresponding to a third condition defining a position relative to the target pixel, as claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colin M. LaRose whose telephone number is (571) 272-7423. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or

Art Unit: 2624

relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Colin LaRose

Group Art Unit 2624

3 April 2006